

Vayúna- and its Indo-European background¹

Michael Weiss

Department of Linguistics, Cornell University

ECIEC June 19-22, 2025, LMU Munich

1. The Problem of *vayúnā*

1.1. 30x² plus the derivatives *vayúnavat-* (6.21.3a), *vayúnāvat-* (4.51.1b), *avayuná-* (6.21.3a), *vayunaśás* (6.52.12b), and *vayunādhā-* (MS).

1.2. It doesn't really survive the Vedic period and has few independent post-RV Vedic uses.

2. The Indian Tradition

2.1. *Nirukta* (± 6th BCE ?) 5.15 *vayúnā* : *kāntirvā prajñā-vā* 'desire (or light?) or knowledge' and is derived from the root *vī-*.

2.2. *Bhāgavata Purāṇa* (± 10th CE?) 'knowledge' e.g. BhP. 3.4.32: *ato mad-vayunaṃ lokaṃ grāhayann iha tiṣṭhatu* "let him (Uddhaba) remain here instructing people in my knowledge." [Translation M. N. Dutt 1896].

2.3. The meaning 'knowledge' was probably extracted from the frequent and salient RV formula (*viśvā*) *vayúnā(ni) vidvān*.

3. The European Tradition: Pischel 'way' and Thieme 'Umhüllung'

3.1. Grassmann: (1) 'woven fabric' then (2) any artistic work especially one for which knowledge and skill are required, namely (3) the work of divine service, sacrifice (4) light originally understood as spread out fabric (5) with *kṛ-* to make a work, a fabric mostly metaphorically of light (6) with *vid-* artful work, sacrifice (7) knowledge of sacrificial work, rule.

3.2. Pischel 1889:296: "*vayúna* ist ein Synonymum von *mārga*, das Wort, das der vedische Dialekt für *mārga* der klassischen Sprache gebraucht. "

- (1) *ágne náya supáthā rāyē asmān*
viśvāni deva vayúnāni vidvān
O Agni, lead us to wealth by an easy path,
since you know all *vayúnāni*, o god.

viśvāni deva vayúnāni vidvān is a relatively rare instance of an almost repeated pada which reoccurs at 3.5.6:

- (2) *ṛbhúś cakra íḍiyaṃ cáru nāma*
viśvāni devó vayúnāni vidvān
The Ṛbhu [=Agni] created for himself the beloved name (of Ṛbhu) to be invoked,
since he is the god who knows all the *vayúnāni*.

By Pischel's argument we could infer that *vayúnāni vidvān* means 'knowing all names'.

¹ Thanks to José Luis García Ramón, Stephanie Jamison, Jay Jasanoff, Marek Maier, Andrew Merritt, Alexander Nikolaev, Alan Nussbaum, Alexis Manaster Ramer, Martin Schwartz, Patrick Stiles.

² 1.72.7a; 1.92.2c, 1.92.6b, 1.144.5d, 1.145.5a, 1.152.6c, 1.162.18c, 1.182.1a, 1.189.1b, 2.19.3d, 2.19.8b, 2.24.5d, 2.34.4d, 3.3.4b, 3.5.6b, 3.29.3d, 4.5.13a, 4.16.3d, 5.48.2a, 6.7.5d, 6.15.10c, 6.75.14c, 7.75.4c, 7.100.5b, 8.66.8b, 10.44.7d, 10.46.8b, 10.49.5b, 10.114.3b, 10.122.2b. *vayúna-* is absent from 9 and rare in 5 and 8. Ujjvaladatta's (ca. 1300 CE) commentary on the *Uṇādisūtra* 3.61 gives the gloss *devamandiram* 'abode of the gods'.

3.2.1. *vayúnāni vidvān* is about as close to a Homeric-type formula as we come in the RV corpus. We find this juncture 8x in the RV (1.72.7a, 1.152.6c, 1.189.1b, 3.56.b, 6.15.10c, 6.75.14c, 7.100.5b, 10.122.2b).

3.2.1.1. The favored *sedes* is the end of a hendecasyllabic line.

3.2.1.2. The formula is *vayúnāni vidvān* sometimes expanded with *visvā(ni)* (1.189.1b; 3.5.6b, 6.15.10c, 6.75.14c,) with one exception in book 10 (10. 122.2b) at the beginning of a dodecasyllable in the order *visvāni vidvān vayúnāni*.

3.2.2. A cheville-like formula is not a very plausible starting point for working out the specific meaning of *vayúna-*.

3.3. Thieme 1949 ‘covering’. Thieme starts from an etymological hypothesis that *vayúna-* is derived from the root of *vyáyati* ‘envelop’ and therefore should mean ‘covering’ (*Umhüllung*). ŚB 8.2.2.8:

- (3) *prāṇā vai devā vayonādhāḥ. prāṇair hīdām sarvaṁ vayúnaṁ naddhām*
The divine strength-binders are the breaths since this entire *vayúnam* is bound with breaths.

3.3.1. Thieme: “Umhüllung ist der Körper in dem das Leben wohnt und der zerfällt wenn beim Tode der Odem entweicht.” But the passage continues:

- (4) *átho chándāṁsi vai devā vayonādhāś chándhobhir hīdām sárvaṁ vayúnaṁ naddhām*
or the divine-strength binders are the meters because this entire *vayúnam* is bound by meter.

4. The RV *vayúna* passages

4.1. *vayúna* plus genitive: 1.72.7 and 7.75.4. In 1.72.7

- (5) *vidvāṁ agne vayúnāni kṣitínāṁ*
ví ānuśák churúdhō jīvāse dhāḥ
antarvidvāṁ ádhvano devayānān
átandro dūtó abhavo havirvāt
Knowing the *vayúnāni* of the settled peoples, o Agni, distribute proliferating riches in proper order (for them) to live.
Inwardly knowing the roads leading to the gods, you have become the tireless messenger carrying the oblations.

the *vayúnāni* that Agni knows belong to *kṣitáyah* ‘settled peoples’ and in 7.75.4

- (6) *eṣā siyā yujānā parākāt*
pāñca kṣitīḥ pári sadyó jigāti
abhipásyantī vayúnā jánānām
divó duhitā bhúvanasya pátnī;
This is the very one who, hitching herself up out of the distance,
circles around the five settlements in a single day,
looking upon the *vayúnā* of the peoples -- the Daughter of Heaven, mistress

of the world.

Dawn looks upon the *vayúnā* of *jánānām* 'peoples'. These passages suggest that *vayúnām* of multiple peoples are different.

4.2 The formula *vayúnāni vidván* again

The subject is normally Agni; on one occasion it is the poet himself (7.100.5.b) who knows the *vayúnāni* in the context of performing the hymn to Viṣṇu.

- (7) *prá tát te adyá śipiviṣṭa náma*
*aryáh śaṃsāmi **vayúnāni vidván***
tám tvā gṛṇāmi tavásam átavayān
kṣáyantam asyá rájasaḥ parāké
This name of yours, o Śipiviṣṭa, of you the stranger
do I proclaim today, I who know the **vayúnāni**.
I hymn you, the strong -- I, less strong -- you who rule over this dusky realm
in the distance.

The passages where *vayúnāni vidván* is predicated of Agni are typically in a ritual context,

- (8) *ā dhenávo māmāteyám ávantīr*
brahmapríyam pīpayan sásmin ūdhan
pitvó bhikṣeta vayúnāni vidván
āsáivāsann áditim uruṣyet
Aiding the son of Mamatā, the milch-cows
will swell the one who gives
pleasure with formulations [=Agni?] in the same udder.
Knowing *vayúnāni* he should seek a share of the food. Seeking to win
her with his mouth, he should make broad space for Aditi

At 6.15.10 the sacrificial context could not be clearer.

- (9) *tám suprátikaṃ sudṛśaṃ suáñcam*
ámso vidúṣṭaraṃ sapema
sá yakṣad víśvā vayúnāni vidván
prá havyám agnīr amṛteṣu vocat
Him, of lovely countenance, of lovely appearance, of lovely outlook, might
we, who do not know, serve as the one who knows better.
He will perform sacrifice, knowing all *vayúnāni*;
Agni will proclaim our oblation among the immortals.

Outlier: the subject is the handguard which wards off the recoils of the bowstring.

- (10) *áhir 'va bhogaṭṭh pári eti bāhúṃ*
jīyāyā hetim paribádhamānaḥ

hastaghnó víśvā vayúnāni vidván
púmān púmāṃsam pári pātu víśvátaḥ
 Like a snake with its coils, it encircles the arm,
 parrying the blow of the bowstring --
 the handguard, knowing all the *vayúnāni*:
 as a male let it protect the male all around.

Thieme 1949:15 “Wie eine Schlange umschließt er den Arm mit seinen Windungen, den Schlag der Sehne rings abdrängend, der Handschutz, der alle Umhüllungen kennt, er, der Mann, schütze den Mann von allen Seiten.” But what the hand protector knows is all the things it needs to know to protect the arm from the bowstring. It knows all the ins and outs of arm-protecting.

4.2.1. Interim summary: *vayúnā* refers to something that is different among different people. It is something that can be known. Typically it is known by Agni and the context of knowing is typically **ritual**.

4.3. Non formulaic *vayúna*

4.3.1. *vayúnā* is the object of a verb. At 1.144.5 Agni established (*adhita*) new *vayúnā* with his advancing (flames). The contexts suggests that the new *vayúnā* have been established immediately after the kindling (referred to obliquely in this stanza by the ten fingers (*dása vríśo*) that urge him on. In 2.19.3, from a hymn structured by the parallelism of divine and human action, Indra perfected (*sādhāt*) the *vayúnā* of the days through the night (or “in the night”?), but in 2.19.8 it’s the poets who fashioned them (*takṣuḥ*). Two passages (1.182.1a and 8.66.8) connect *vayúna* with the verb *ā bhūṣ-* which Jamison translates as ‘attend to’ or ‘attend upon’ and others take as ‘strengthen’ which works less well in the passage at

(11) *ābhūd idāṃ vayúnā o śú bhūṣatā*
rātho vṛṣaṇvān mādatā maṇiṣiṇaḥ
 This *vayúnā* has now been set: (all of you,) attend upon it!
 The chariot has its bulls: become exhilarated, (all) you of inspired thought!

(12) *vṛkaś cid asya vāraṇā urāmāthir*
ā vayúneṣu bhūṣati
 Even a wolf -- wild and sheep-stealing --
 attends to its own *vayúneṣu*.

If this translation of *ā bhūṣ-* at 8.66.8 is correct, it suggests that there is something **normative** about *vayúna-*.

4.3.2. Another feature of *vayúna-* is that it may have a **regular order** (10.49.5b)

(13) *ahāṃ randhayam mṛgayam śrutārvaṇe*
yān mājīhīta vayúnā canānuṣāk
 I made Mṛgaya subject to Śrutarvan.
 When he yielded to me his *vayúnā* were in due order (Jamison commentary ad loc., option 1)

The same connection with regularity is found in the derived distributive adverb *vayuna-śás* 6.52.12b:

- (14) *imám no agne adhvarám*
hótar vayunaśó yaja
cikiván daíviyam jánam
 O Agni, Hotar, perform this ceremony as sacrifice for us according to its *vayúna*, as
 one who attends to the divine folk.

The idea of regularity also seems to be highlighted at 3.3.4:

- (15) *pitā yajñānām ásuropaścítām*
vimānam agnir vayúnam ca vāghátām
 The father of sacrifices and the lord of those perceiving the inspired words,
 Agni is the measure and *vayúnam* for the chanters.

where we find the conjunction of *vimānam* ‘measure’ and *vayúnam* as the definition of the *vayúnam* of the chanters.

1.162.18 cd is particularly interesting:

- (16) *áchidrā gātrā vayúnā kṛṇota*
páruṣ-parur anughúṣyā ví śasta
 Make the *asvamedha* horse’s uncut limbs into *vayúnā* (or by *vayúnā* if the form is taken as an
 instrumental).
 and carve them up joint by joint, having called them out in order.

Some light is thrown on the meaning of this passage by stanza 20 which contrasts the defective butchering of the horse performed by the greedy man

- (17) *mā te gṛdhnúr aviśastātihāya*
chidrā gātrāṇi asínā mīthū kaḥ
 Let a greedy man who is no carver, skipping steps,
 not make your legs damaged with a knife gone awry.

In the correct procedure the limbs are unbroken; in the incorrect procedure the limbs are broken; in the correct procedure the limbs are called out one by one; in the incorrect procedure steps are skipped. In the correct procedure the ministrant does what he does to the limbs *vayúnā*; in the incorrect procedure he does it *mīthū* ‘falsely’. This suggests that means *vayúnā* ‘in the correct fashion’ vel sim.

4.3.3. *Vayúna*- more concrete than ‘ritual order’.

4.3.3.1. Likened to a fabric. At 10.114.3 the ghee-faced young woman, presumably some personification of a ritual item wears (*vaste*) *vayúnāni*.

- (18) *cātuṣkapardā yuvatīḥ supésā*
ghṛtāpratīkā vayúnāni vaste
 The well-ornamented, ghee-faced young woman with four braids [= vedi/night
 sky?] wears *vayúnāni*.

Consistent with this theme is 5.48.2a where the Dawns stretch out *vayúnam*

- (19) *tā atnata vayúnaṃ vīrávakṣaṇaṃ*
samāniyā vṛtáyā víśvam ā rájaḥ
They [=Dawns] have spread their hero-strengthening *vayúnam*
along the same course through the whole dusky realm.

where *vayúnam* again seems to be likened to a fabric that is stretched out through the darkness.³ The metaphor of dawn and night wearing or employing different garments is a familiar universal metaphor. In particular 1.115.4, as Jamison explains in her commentary ad loc., depicts night gathering up the fabric of night at the arrival of the sun *madhyā kártor vítataṃ sám jabhāra* where the metaphor of the stretched out fabric is made certain by the parallel 2.38.4 *púnaḥ sám avyad vítataṃ váyanti* “Once again the weaver has wrapped up what was stretched out”.

4.3.3.2. Connected with Light and Dawn, e.g. 1.92.2c:

- (20) *ákrann uśáso vayúnāni pūrváthā*
rúśantam bhānám áruṣīr asísrayuḥ
The Dawns have made their *vayúnāni* as of old. A gleaming beam have the
reddish ones propped up.

and 1.92.6b

- (21) *átāriṣma támasas pārám asyá*
uśá uchánti vayúnā kṛṇoti
We have crossed to the further shore of this darkness.
Dawn, dawning, is making her *vayúnā*.

The connection with light is also found at 6.21.3 in the derivatives *vayúna-vat* and *avayuná-* a and b

- (22) *sá ít támo avayunám tatanvát*
sūriyeṇa vayúnavac cakāra
Just he (Indra) made the darkness without *vayúna* in its extension
vayúna-ful by the sun.

But at 4.51.1 the *vayúna-* is a characteristic that the dawn light possesses, and not the light itself.

- (23) *idám u tyát purutámam purástāḥ*
jyótis támaso vayúnāvad asthāt

³ Cf. *yadéd áyukta harítaḥ sadhásthād/ ād rátri vāsas tanute simásmāi* “When he has yoked his tawny horses from their seat, just after that Night stretches her garment for him.”

nūnám divó duhitáro vibhātír
gātúṃ kṛṇavann uśáso jánāya
 Here has this light, the latest of many, stood (up) out of the east
 from the darkness, having *vayúnā*
 Now the Daughters of Heaven radiating widely, the Dawns,
 will make a way for the people.

In these passages we observe a more concrete sense. *Vayúna-* is something **associated with light**, it is metaphorically **stretched out like a garment**, but it is **not light itself**. Two other passages probably make a connection between *vayúnā* and the **alternations of day and night** though they are admittedly obscure.
 2.24.5d

- (24) *áyatantā carato anyád-anyad íd*
yá cakára vayúnā bráhmaṇas pátiḥ
 (Sun and moon), without aligning themselves, proceed (as the day-halves)
 one after the other, which (two day-halves) Brahmanaspati has made into *vayúnā*. (Jamison commentary ad loc.)

and 4.16.3d

- (25) *divá itthá jījanat sapta kārūn*
áhnā cic cakrur vayúnā grṇántaḥ
 In just that way he [=Indra?] begot the seven bards of heaven;
 just with the day they created *vayúnā* as they were singing.

4.3.3.3. Finally, omitting a discussion of some instances of the word which seem not informative to me, we come to one passage (2.34.4) in a hymn dedicated to the Maruts where *vayúna-* has been thought have a different and concrete meaning.

- (26) *pṛśadaśvāso anavabhrārādhasa*
rjipyāso ná vayúneṣu dhūrśadaḥ
 With their dappled horses, with their unreceding generosity,
 they sit at the chariot pole like the straight-flying (birds) on the *vayúneṣu* (of the branches?).

Is *vayúneṣu* is to be bracketed with *rjipyāsaḥ* ‘eagles’ and so a part of the simile or to be bracketed with *dhūrśadaḥ* ‘sitting at the yoke pole’. Cf. 2.2.1d *dyukṣám hótāraṃ vṛjáneṣu dhūrśadam*. Here it seems probable *vṛjáneṣu* is modifying *dhūrśadam* rather than *hótāraṃ* since the latter word is separated from *vṛjáneṣu* by a caesura and already has a modifier *dyukṣám*. This parallel suggests to me that we should take *vayúneṣu* with *dhūrśadaḥ* and translate as “like eagles taking the pole position at the correct/regularly-alternating /entities/events”, i.e. the ritual sessions.”

4.4. Summary: *vayúna-* in its most concrete sense, is a metaphorical garment of dawn and is stretched out like fabric. But more commonly it refers to something that happens in an alternating, regular fashion, like the exchange of day for night or ultimately the ritual itself. It is something that can be the subject of knowledge

and is potentially distinct for different peoples. The ŚB passage that Thieme began his argument from is quite consistent with this picture.

(27) *prāṇā vai devā vayanādhāḥ. prāṇair hīdāṃ sarvaṃ vayúnaṃ naddhām*

átho chándāṃsi vai devā vayanādhās chándhobhir hīdāṃ sárvaṃ vayúnaṃ naddhām

The divine strength binders are the breaths since this entire *vayúnam* is bound with breaths or the divine binders are the meters because this entire *vayúnam* is bound by meter.

What breath and meters have in common is *rhythmical alternation*. The metrical text is bound by the alternation of heavy and light syllables and the form of the body is regulated by the in and out of breathing. Of all the proposed translations I believe it is Jamison and Brereton's 'pattern' which comes closest to the meaning of *vayúnam*.

5. The root of *vayúnam*

5.1. In Vedic the verb meaning 'to weave' has a present *váyati* but a perfect 3p *ūvuh*, ppp. *utá-*, an infinitive *ótum*, *ótave*.

5.2. According to LIV² the root in question is **Heu-*, and the present is formed by adding the suffix *-éje-* to the zero-grade root, **Hu-éje-* (> *váyati*), but this is difficult.

5.3. The usual parallels for derivation of a transitive present from a zero-grade root are the so-called *dháyati* class, but Jasanoff 2021 has convincingly explained these as full-grade formations from roots in a final laryngeal in state 2.

**ǵʰueh_x-je-* > *hváya-* 'call' beside *hava-*

**u_{ie}h₁-je-* 'envelop' (*vyáyati*) vs. **uei_h-e-* in Lith. *vejù* 'wind'

where the absence of a syllabic realization of the post-initial glide is firm evidence that the glide and the laryngeal were not adjacent.

5.4. Jasanoff 2021:172 on *váyati*: "**Hu_ei-* (thematic present **Hu_ei₁-e/o-*) or **Hu_eh₁-* (i-present **Hu_e(é)h₁-i-*); or *váya-* could actually be the etymological thematic present of **uei_h-* ~ **u_{ie}h₁-* 'wind', standing in the same formal relationship to *vyáya-* as *háva-* 'call' to *hváya-*."

Of these explanations the third one seems best to me since there is just no evidence for an *i* or an **h₁* extension of the root **Heu-*.

6. The semantic issue: can 'plait' and 'envelop' be unified?

Doubts expressed about the connection of *vyáyati* 'covers' with the family of **uei_h-* 'plait, twine'. Cheung 2007:435 in discussing the Iranian cognates of *vyáyati* "It remains doubtful whether the Iranian and Skt. forms above are connected to IE **u_{ie}h₁-* 'to braid, wind' (Lat. *viēre* 'to bind', 'to plait'; Lith. *výti* 'to twist'; OCS *po-viti* 'to wrap, to bind'), on account of the meaning. Cf. EWAia, l.c.: 'Die Verknüpfung ist semantisch nicht ganz befriedigend.' It is true that the meanings of *uieō*, Russ. *vit'*, Lith. *výti* and the supposed Indo-Iranian cognate (*vyáyati*) are different.

6.1. The non-Indo-Iranian forms 'to manipulate long thin strands', specifically either 'to plait or twine' or 'to roll up'.

6.2. Latin *uieō* is rare and archaic and is used of plaiting rushes or branches to form a wreath, a chariot basket, a wall, or a basket. There is no perfect stem attested but the ppp. was probably **uītos* (either from **uīh₁-tó-* or from an analogically created **uijētós*) to judge from the archaic agent noun *uītor* ‘basket maker’ (Plaut. *Rud.* 990), *uitta* ‘fillet’ (with the *Iuppiter* rule) and the adj. *uītīlis* ‘plaited’ (Cat. +).

6.3. Lith. *vejù výtī, vijau* is a semantic match for Lat. *uieō*. It is used of twisting fibers to make rope and other braided things and of birds for making a nest. But it also means ‘to roll, wind threads into a ball, or onto a spool’ and from here develop the meanings ‘wrap’ and ‘cover’.

6.4. OCS *poviti* means ‘wrap’ in swaddling clothes *i povitŭ ji* “ἐσπαργάνωσεν αὐτόν” (L 2.7) but the simplex, not attested in OCS, means ‘plait’ or ‘twist’, e.g. Bulg. *Жътварите виеха възета и връзваха сноповете* “The reapers plaited ropes and tied the sheaves” *Стоян си вино пиеше / у тая ледна механа, / руси мустаци виеше / и се на Бога молеше*. Stoyan drank wine / in that icy tavern, / he twisted his blond mustache / and prayed to God. (Речник на Българския Език s.v.).

6.5. You can twist a fiber together with another fiber (plait) or twist it around itself (wrap). Mayrhofer and Cheung’s scruples therefore seem unjustified.

6.6. OIr. *fenamain* i, fem. ‘wattles plashing wickerwork, hamper’, *airbe* < **are-ūiā* ‘hedge, fence, paling’ and *fíthe* ‘woven, plaited’ but the verb itself only survives in compounds like *ar-fen* ‘erect a fence before’, ‘exclude’, *imm-fen* ‘hedge round’, ‘enclose’, *ad-fen* ‘repay’, and *for-fen* ‘finish’ where the meaning has developed from ‘plait’ to ‘make a wattle’ (in front of, around) to just ‘make’.

7. Nominal derivatives

The nominal derivatives which have some claim to antiquity are the following

- A root noun **uīh₁-s* is directly attested in the Hesychian gloss γίς [i.e. φίς] ἰμάς καὶ γῆ καὶ ἰσχύς ‘thong, earth, strength’ in the first meaning with generalized zero-grade as one expects in root nouns from roots of the shape CEIH-.
- A **-tis* derivative **uīh₁-ti-* ~ **ueīh₁ti-* with mainly concrete meanings (vine, clematis, sinew, willow) and with a surprising full-grade match between Iranian and Celtic.
 - **ueīh₁ti-*: YAv. *vaēiti-* ‘willow twigs’ (hap. *vaētaiiō* Vid. 22.20) MP *wēd*, ModP *bēd*, OIr. *féith* fiber, ‘twining plant’
 - **uīh₁-ti-*: Lith. *vytis* ‘willow twig’
 - ambiguous: Lat. *uītis* ‘vine’, PSl. **vitī* f. (SC *pāvīt* ‘clematis’, Slovin. *vjic* ‘willow pole for tying a thatched roof’, Upper Sorbian *wiś* 1 ‘gout’, 2 ‘marsh grass’, Lower Sorbian *wiś* ‘marsh grass’).
 - **wīþi-* > OHG *wid* f. ‘thin branch, fetter’, OHG *kunawid*, acc. pl. *cuoniowidi* ‘fetter’
**wīþjō* > ON *við*, gen. sg. *viðjar* ‘withy’
**wīþjōn-* > OE *wīþpe* f. ‘cord’, OFr. *withthe* ‘collar’, MDu. *wisse* ‘instrument for measuring a pile of wood’ (→ OF *guige* ‘strap’), MLG *wedde*, ON *viðja*, OHG *witta* ‘band’⁴
- A *-men*-stem **u(e)īh₁m̥n̥*
 Lat. *uīmen* (Cat. +) ‘pliant twig’, MLG *wīm(e)* m. MDu. *wīme* f. ‘osier’, Mlr. *fīam* ‘chain’⁵

⁴ Other Germanic forms are only attested in one branch: **widō* > Go. *-wida* in *kunawida* f. ‘fetter’ but possibly to *gawidan* ‘to bind’; **wīþjaz* ‘willow’ > ON *viðir* m. ‘willow’ **wīþō* > OHG *wīda* f. (-n/-ō), MLG *wīde* f., ‘willow’; **wīþiga-* > OE *wīþig*.

⁵ Post-RV *vēman-* n. ‘loom’ (VS) is probably independent formations. On TB *waimene* ‘difficult’ see Imberciadori 2023:666–7.

- an *n*-stem (perhaps a delocative to the root noun (Neri 2017:324) or derived from the **-men* stem (Alan Nussbaum p.c.) **u_hen-*: Hitt. *wiyan-* ‘wine’ (Gk. *ύίνν?*) with further derivatives **u_hino-* Gk. *όίνος* (whence Etruscan *vinum* whence Italic **uīnom*; I’m inclined to doubt that Latin and Sabellic forms are inherited) and Alb. *verë/venë* ‘wine’ < **u_hneh₂*.
- **u_hitó-* Ved. *vítá-* ‘enveloped’ (RV 4.7.6), Lith. *vítas* ‘twisted’, perhaps Lat. *uitta* ‘fillet’
- **u(e)_hito-* → PIR. **uaitākā-* > Oss. Ir. *wīdag/* Di. *yedagæ* ‘root’, Sog. *wyt’k* ‘string’;
 - **u(e)_hite-k-* > *uītēx* ‘chaste tree’
 - Arm. *gi, gi-oy* ‘juniper’
- **u(e)_hites-*: PIR. **uaitas-* **uītas-*: Ved. *vetasá-* ‘ratan’, *vetasvant-* PB (placename) (derived from the *-to*-stem, cf. *srutá-* ‘flowing’ ~ *srótas-* ‘stream’)
- **u_hitu-* ~ **u_hitū-* and derivatives (Peters 1980:100)
 ἵτρυς ‘fellow’ of wheel ‘rim’ of shield, short *i* by *weather* rule or generalized from *o*-grade
 - **ue_hite_h₂* > ἰτέα [ī] ‘willow’, γιτέα (= φιτέα) ἰτέα (Hesych); Εἰτέα Attic deme (very consistent and early)
 - **u_hitū-* > οἶσος ‘kind of willow’ **u_hitū_h₂* > οἰσύα ‘osier’ with analogical *s*
 - **u_hitū-* > OCS *větvī* ‘branch’
 - **u(e)_h(h)₁itū-* / *-eh₂* > Pol. *witwa* ‘*Salix viminalis*’, OPrus. *witwan* ‘willow’, *ape-witwo*
- **ue_hit-ro-* (> OIr. *fíar* ‘bent, winding’, MW *gwyr* ‘askew’, OE *wīr* ‘wire’, OHG *wiara* ‘ornament of precious metal’) → **u(e)_hitri-* > Εἵρις (IG 2.2.793) ~ ἱρίς ‘rainbow’
- **u(e)_hitro-* / *-eh₂* > Russ. dial. *viter* ‘fishing net’, Cz. dial. *vitra*, Slov. *vitra* ‘willow twig’, Latv. *vitra* ‘creeper’; Skt. *vetra-* ‘*Calamus rotang*’ (Kaus. +)
- **u_hit_h₂* ‘fence’
 OIr. *fé, airbe, imbe*, Latv. *vija* ‘fence’ (in older language), OAv. *viiqm* (see below)?
- **uo/e_hit_h₂* > Ved. *vayá* ‘branch’
- **ue_hit_h₂* *vrddhi* and substantivization of **ue_hit_h₂* ‘twisting’ > OCS *věja* ‘branch’
- **(-)u_hito-* > Ved. *-vāyá-* with analogical Brugmann’s in *vāsovāyá-* ‘weaving a garment’ (RV 10.26.6) *tiraścīnavāya-* (AB 18.12.17), PSl. **vojǐ* > SC *vôj* ‘woven wicker’, Bulg. *voj* ‘turn’, SCr. *povoj* ‘swaddling bands’, Slov. ‘bandage’ etc., Lith. *įvajus* ‘winding, twisting, crooked’ with *u*-stem replacing *o*-stem adjective? (but these are all productive formations in their respective families).
- **u_hitu-* > PGmc. **wajjus* ‘wall’ > Go. *baurgs-waddjus* ‘city-wall’, *grundu-waddjus* ‘foundation wall’, *midgardi-waddjus* ‘fence’, ON *veggj* (synchronically an *i*-stem but transfer is expected because of gen. *veggj-ar*). Connection with **ue_hit-* already in Fick 1871:868 but not in Fick 1868.

8. Morphophonological observations

8.1. LIV² * u^hieh_1 - on the basis of the thematic aorist *ávyat* which is explained as a thematization of an original root aorist * u^hieh_1 -t ~ * u^hih_1 -ent with generalization of the zero-grade of the root combined with the non-syllabicity of the yod in the hypothesized full-grade forms. But forms in *vyā-* are post-RV and could be analogical.

8.1.1 Further, old nominal forms, some of which must be quite archaic show the full-grade * u^hieh_1 - (e.g. OIr. *féith* = YAv. *vaēiti-* etc.).

8.1.1. Preferable to reconstruct * u^hieh_1 - and explain the *Schwebeablaut* as triggered by the *i*-present.

8.1.2. The thematic aorist secondarily created from the present by subtraction of the suffix *-*ie-* which led to a non-present stem *vya-* on the model Pres. **mṇ-ya-* : Aor. **mṇ-*, etc. cf. *hváyati áhvāt* (the more frequently attested aorist beside *áhuvāt*).

8.2. Forms where a laryngeal reflex is absent. In full grade forms of the type * $\text{u}^h\text{e}^h\text{ih}_1$ C most Indo-European languages would probably have no reflex, cf. Ved. *netár-* ‘leader’ < **neih_xter-*

8.2.1. In Greek we might have expected a reflex if we can trust the evidence of δέατο ‘appeared’ < **deih₂to*.⁶ A form like Ειτέα instead of **uei₂eteuā-* could have lost the laryngeal in the *o*-grade form of an acrostatic *u*-stem base or by the weather rule in the weak cases.

9. Vayúna- and forms in -una-

9.1. The suffix *-una-* is not productive in Vedic. 19 forms with this suffix in Vedic and a few more mainly unetymologized forms from the later period.⁷

3 oxytones: adjectives: *aruṇá-* ‘red’, *mithuná-* ‘paired’; noun: *śakuná-* (kind of bird))

6 proparoxytones: adjectives: *árjuna-* ‘white’, *táruṇa-* ‘young’, *phálguna-* ‘reddish’ (VS, TS) (*phalgú-*), *vīṣuna-* ‘manifold’; nouns: *písuna-* ‘slanderer’, *váruṇa-* (god name)

10 paroxytones: adjectives: *dāruṇa-* (also *dāruṇá-*) ‘hard’ (ŚB), *pracetúna-* ‘affording a wide view’ *sučetúna-* ‘very notable’; nouns: *karúṇa-* ‘work’?, *dharúṇa-* (*dharuṇī*) ‘foundation’, *yatúna-* ‘arrangement’, *vayúna-*, *śalúna-* ‘insect’ (AV), *narúṇa-* (epithet of Pushan, ‘leader’?) (TA), *yamúnā* (river name)). The paroxytones are, with a few explicable exceptions, nouns.

9.2. Avestan: YAv. *tauruna-* ‘young’; YAv. *ahuna-* ‘containing the word *ahū*’; YAv. *aθauruna-* ‘priestly function’; OAv. YAv. *auruna-* ‘wild’; YAv. *kahrpuna-* ‘lizard’ (= Khwar. *krbwn*); YAv. *hamaṇkuna-* ‘hooked together’.

9.3. In one or two cases, the suffix *-una* is analyzable as a thematic derivative of a *-*uen*-stem (YAv. *aθauruna-* ~ *aθauruan-*, and a few animal names might have a not further analyzable formant (*śakuná-*, *śalúna-*, YAv. *kahrpuna-*) but most forms are best analyzed as secondary derivatives of *u*-stem bases. This is synchronically observable for YAv. *ahuna-* ‘containing the word *ahū*’ ← *ahu-* and certain or highly likely for other forms:

9.3.1. With inner Indo-Iranian comparanda

aru-śá- ‘red’ OHG *elo* ‘brown’ < **elw-az* ~ *aru-ṇá-* ‘red’, Av. *auruna-* ‘wild’ (unless this is from **h₁al-*, cf. Ved. *áraṇa-* ‘foreign’)

ce_tú- ‘heedfulness’ ~ **ce_túna-* ‘visible’

⁶ As pointed out to me by Alan Nussbaum, δέατο is not trustworthy since the *a* might originate in the 3rd pl. **deih₂nto*. δῆλος ‘clear, visible’ < **dejalos* is also not conclusive since *-alos* is productive.

⁷ *karuṇa-* ‘mournful’, *karuṇā* ‘compassion’, *laś/suna-* ‘leek’, *kṣúdhuṇa-* (name of a people) *Uṇ*, *śayuna-* ‘boa’ Lex., *veṇuna* ‘black pepper’ Lex., *śākuna-* ‘repentant’ Lex.

dāru ‘wood’ ~ *dāruṇá-* cf. OIr. *dron* ‘solid’ < **dru-no-*

dhruv-á- ‘firm’, Av. *druua-* ~ *dharúṇa-* ‘foundation’

phalgú- ‘reddish’ ~ *phálguna-* ‘reddish’

míthu/ū ‘wrongly’ (original meaning ‘in opposition’) ~ *mithuná-* ‘paired’

vīṣu- ‘in various directions’ ~ *vīṣuna-* ‘manifold’

YAv. *aṅku.pəsəmna-* ‘decorated with hooks’, Ved. *aṅku-śá-* ‘hook’ ~ *ham-aṅkuna-* ‘hooked together’

9.3.2. With comparanda elsewhere

Gk. *τέρυ* ‘weak’ < *‘weakness’ (Hesych.) ~ *táruṇa-* ‘young’, YAv. *tauruna-* ‘young’. Cf. Gr. *τερόνης* ‘a worn out donkey and an old man’ (Hesych.)

Gk. *ἄργυ-φος* ‘white’, *ἄργυ-ρος* ‘silver’, TB *ārkwī* ‘white’ ~ *árjuna-* ‘white’

Lith. *pikū-las*, OPrus. *pickūls* ‘devil’ ~ *písuna-* ‘hostile’

10. The derivational history of *vayúna-*

Thus from *vayúna-* we can infer with some plausibility a base **vayu-* and that base matches PGmc. **wajju-* exactly. We can sketch out the derivational chain as follows:

ueih₁-* ‘plait, bind’ → **uóih₁-o-* ‘plaiting’ (abstract) → **ueih₁-ó-* ‘plaiting’ (temós* adj. Nussbaum 2018) → **uoih₁u-* ‘instance of plaiting’ (e.g. a wattle and daub wall PGmc. **wajjuz*) or ‘plaiting’ (abstract) → **uo/eih₁u-no-* ‘what has plaiting’ (e.g. a patterned alternation, ‘a pattern’ Ved. *vayúnam*). Cf. PDE *toile* OED 3 “A pattern for a garment made up in muslin, cotton, or the like, for fitting or for use in making copies.”

11. Some Old Avestan comparanda

11.1. Y. 34.10

ahiiā varjəuš manəjhō šiiaoθanā vaocat gərəbəm huxratuš

spəntəmcā ārmaitim dāmim viduūā hiθəm ašahiiā

tācā višpā ahurā θbahmī mazdā xšaθrōi ā vōiiaθrā

11.2. Scansion

ahya wahawš manahəh / šyawθnā wawcat gərbām huxratuš 7/9

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x [Scansion after Kümmel 2013’s Onset Max]

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | (~) ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x [Ideal]

spantāmcā aramatim / dāmim widwāh hiθām ašahya 7/9

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | (~) ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

*tācā višpā ahura / θwahmi mazdā xšaθrāiā viiaθrā*⁸ 7/10

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | (~) ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

The final *ā* of *xšaθrāiā* in line could be excised (cf. 32.6 *θbahmī vā mazdā. xšaθrōi*) giving

tācā višpā ahura / θwahmi mazdā xšaθrāi viiaθrā

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x | (~) ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ x

⁸ I interpret the *ō* as an anaptyctic vowel between *u* and *i*. See de Vaan 2003:540.

If we scan *θwahmi* – ~ then we have anacalasis at the beginning of the last colon of the stanza.

11.3. Translations

By means of the action of this Good Thought, the well-minded proclaims his understanding, he who knows the Beneficent Ārmaiti, the creator, the thread of Truth, and all the tools of the loom in Thy power, o Ahura Mazdā. (Andrés-Toledo 2013:436)

Via his action of Good Mind, the person of good intellect
voices his grasp of Beneficent Propermindedness,
knowing her to be the ally of Rightness,
and all those *vōiiaθrā* (which are) in Thy Dominion, [M. Schwartz per litteras electronicas]

11.4. A Proto-Indo-Iranian formula?

If we eliminate the other objects of *viduuā* we have:

**widwāh wispā wiiaθrā*

which I suggest is a striking match for the Vedic formula

viśvāni vayúnāni vidvān

Assuming a PIr. formula “knowing [**uīduas-*] all [**uīcuā*] twists [**u(a)ih-*]” we can observe how the Gathic penchant for *trobar clus* and theological speculation has expanded the basic core. The responding passage (according to the system of Martin Schwartz), 48.7, has another apparent form of the root **uēih₁-* in line c

ni aēšmō diiātqm | paitī rəməm siiōdūm 5 |6
yōi ā vaṇhauš | manahhō dīdayžō.duiiē 4/7
ašā viiāqm, | yehiiā hiθāuš nā spəntō 4/7
aṭ hōi dāmāqm | θbahmī ā dām ahurā 4/7

Let wrath be tied down, slash away fury,
you who wish to draw close to Good Mind's *viiāqm* with Rightness,
whose ally (**alligatus*) is the numinously-beneficial man,
and his ties/bonds are in Thy house, O Lord'. [Translation after M. Schwartz *per litteras electronicas*]

The most straightforward interpretation of *viiāqm* in line c is as the reflex of acc. sg. f. of an *-eh₂-*stem which has an exact match in OIr. *fé, airbe, imbe* ‘fence’ enclosure’ and Latv. *vija* ‘fence’ which produces an irreproachable scansion ~ - ~ - | ~ ~ ~ - - - x.⁹

The stanza is replete with imagery of tying and binding (*diiātqm, hiθāuš, dāmāqm*) and the responsion strengthens the case that *v(ō)iiāθrā* is also connected with **uēih₁-* and the formulaic partial match in turn strengthens the case for the ultimate connection of Ved. *vayúna-* with the same root.

See extended version for references, footnotes and appendix of all RV *vayúna-* passages.

⁹ Humbach 1991:2:201 compares YAv. *uiiāhuua* ‘wombs’ (Yt. 13.11) loc. pl. < ‘enclosures’. LIV²:695 prefers Schindler’s (1972:70) explanation of *viiāqm* as a *t*-less 3s mid. ipv. “let (him) whose partner is the prosperous man be protected by truth” vel sim.